

State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form.

	Applicant: Miami County		Subdivisi	on Code:	109-00109
Applicant	District Number: <u>11</u> County:	Miami		Date:	06/24/2019
Appl	Contact: Britt R. Havenar, PE, PS (The individual who will be available during	business hours and who can best answer or o	coordinate the response to questions)	Phone:	(937) 440-5656
	Email: bhavenar@miamicountyohio.	gov		FAX:	(937) 440-5659
	Project Name: <u>Troy-Urbana Road Brown</u> Subdivision Type (Select one)	ridge No. 4.71 Replacement Project Type (Select single largest component by \$)	Funding (Automatically populates f	Request	e:45373 Summary
Project	1. County	1. Road	Total Project Cost:		450,000 .00
0	2. City	2. Bridge/Culvert	1. Grant:		300,000 .00
	3. Township 4. Village 5. Water (6119 Water District)	3. Water Supply 4. Wastewater 5. Solid Waste	 Loan: Loan Assist Credit Enha 		00. 00
	3. Water (0119 Water District)	6. Stormwater	Funding Requested:		300,000 .00
Di	strict Recommendation	(To be completed by the District	t Committee)		en Personanti di na contribucion sessi appropriati di su accusa nella di giorna y se Co. Tribi di Alberti di M
(Sel	Funding Type Requested	SCIP Loan - Rate:	_% Term: Yrs	Amount:	.00.
	State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate:	_ % Term: Yrs	Amount:	.00
	Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant:	,	Amount:	.00
	Revolving Loan Program Small Government Program	LTIP:		Amount:	.00
	District SG Priority:	Loan Assistance / Credi	t Enhancement:	Amount:	.00.
Fo	r OPWC Use Only				
ESSENCEMENT A POPUL	STATUS	Grant Amount:	00 Loan Ty	pe:	SCIP RLP
roje	ct Number:	Loan Amount:	00 Date Co	nstruction	n End:
-		Total Funding:	00 Date Ma	aturity:	
Palar	ase Date:	Local Participation:			%
	C Approval:	OPWC Participation:			Vre

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services			
Preliminary Design:	00		
Final Design:	00		
Construction Administration:	00		
Total Engineering Services:	a.)	0.0	00 %
Right of Way:	b.)	.00)
Construction:	c.)	450,000 .0)
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.)	.0.)
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)	.0.)
Construction Contingencies:	f.)	.0.	0 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.)	450,000 .0	0
.2 Project Financial Resources			
Local Resources			
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.)	.0	3
Local Revenues:	b.)	150,000 .0	3
Other Public Revenues:	c.)	0.	C
ODOT/FHWA PID:	d.)	.0	C
USDA Rural Development:	e.)	.0	0
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)	0.	0
CDBG: County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula" Department of Development	g.)	.0)
Other:	h.)	.0.	0
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.)	150,000 .0	033 %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)			
Grant: 100 % of OPWC Funds	j.)	300,000 .0	0
Loan: 0 % of OPWC Funds	k.)	.0	Ó
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.)	0.0	0
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.)	300,000 .0	067 %
Total Financial Resources:	n.)	450,000 .0	<u>100</u> %

1

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

	to the second se					
2.0 Re	epair / Replacement or New / Ex	kpansion				
	2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Repl	lacement:	450	00. 000.	100 %	A Farmland Preservation lette
	2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expans	sion:		0.00	0 %	required for an impact to farmla
	2.3 Total Project:		450	00. 000,	100 %	
3.0 Pr	oject Schedule					
	3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date:	01/01/2020	End Date:	05/01/	2020
	3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date:	06/01/2020	End Date:	06/30/	2020
	3.3 Construction	Begin Date:	07/01/2020	End Date:	11/15/	2020
	Construction cannot begin prior to release	of executed Projec	ct Agreement and	issuance of I	Votice to F	Proceed.
	Failure to meet project schedule may re Modification of dates must be requeste Commission once the Project Agreeme	ed in writing by pro	oject official of r			
4.0 Pr	oject Information			. 3		
	If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information	on must be conso	lidated in this se	ection.		
4.1	Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Ag	ge of Infrastr	ucture			
	Project Useful Life:47 Years Ag	je: <u>1961</u>	(Year built or	year of last ma	ajor improv	vement)
	Attach Registered Professional Enginee project's useful life indicated above and			and signatur	e confirm	ing the
4.2	User Information					•
	Road or Bridge: Current ADT 1,056	S Year2015	Projecte	d ADT1,4	22 Year	2044
,	Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly u	sage of 4,500 gal	lons per househ	old; attach cu	urrent ord	inances.
	Residential Water Rate	Current	\$	Proposed	\$	
	Number of households served:	AND				
	Residential Wastewater Rate	Current	\$	Proposed	\$	
	Number of households served:					

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 3 of 6

Stormwater: Number of households served: ___

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

The Troy-Urbana Road Bridge No. 4.71 is located in Lost Creek Township approximately 0.28 mies east of State Route 589 and adjacent to the Miami East School Complex. The project work limits will extend 200 feet in each direction from the center of the existing bridge.

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

The existing Troy-Urbana Road Bridge No. 4.71 is a three span, non-composite prestressed concrete box beam structure with an asphalt wearing surface that spans the Middle Branch of Lost Creek. This structure is structurally deficient and currently has a sufficiency rating of 45.9.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

The existing 84 foot long, three span, 24 foot wide bridge with 21 foot wide approach pavement will be replaced with an 85 foot long, 32 foot wide structure with approximately 150 feet of approach work on both approaches. This replacement will include new capped pile abutments. Approaches will be widened in order to accommodate the wider bridge structure. The total length of this project is anticipated to be approximately 400 feet.

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer	(Person au	uthorized in legislation to sign project agreements)
	Name:	Gregory A. Simmons
	Title:	President, Board of Miami County Commissioners
	Address:	201 W Main Street
	City:	Troy State: OH Zip: 45373
	Phone:	(937) 440-5910
	FAX:	(937) 440-5911
	E-Mail:	commissioners@miamicountyohio.gov
5.2 Chief Financial Officer	(Can not a	also serve as CEO)
	Name:	Matthew W. Gearhardt
	Title:	Miami County Auditor
	Address:	201 W. Main Street
	City:	Troy State: Oh Zip: 45373
	Phone:	(937) 440-5925
	FAX:	(937) 440-3530
	E-Mail:	auditor@miamicountyohio.gov
5.3 Project Manager		
	Name:	Britt R. Havenar, PE, PS
	Title:	Chief Deputy Engineer
	Address:	2100 N. County Road 25A
	City:	Troy State: Oh Zip: 45373
	Phone:	(937) 440-5656
	FAX:	(937) 440-5659

bhavenar@miamicountyohio.gov

E-Mail:

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

	A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
	A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of <u>all local share</u> funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
V	A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature.
	A cooperative agreement (If the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
\checkmark	Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.
	Capital Improvements Report, CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164,06 on standard form.
	Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Britt R. Havenar, PE, PS

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature / Date Signed

Project		TROY	URBANA ROAD BRIDGE NO. 4.71 REPLACEMENT	
Applicant			MIAMI COUNTY	
County			MIAMI	
		ense list er til en vienine av kerklande en sladensk trædverk til steden til trædie i steden til her	OPWC Grant Request	\$300,000
Cost Analysis	Total Project Cost	\$450,000	OPWC Loan Request	\$0
			OPWC Loan Assistance Request	\$0
CLEVEN TO LANGUE PROTECTION WAS ARRESTED AND THE ARREST TO SERVICE AND THE SERVICE ARREST TO SERVICE ARREST TO	gantillationes automatica chieffly archaetace operational and service	IT NO MY 1869 A COLO METRO RECORDINATION DE LA SETE MATERIATION DE MATERIA DE LA SETE MAT		TO PARTICULAR STANCES OF THE STANCES
Factor	System	Points Available	Criteria	Score
nfrastructure repair and		etreminenter til flette sam ett flette til flette til til statisk skalende.		entropy in the School School and the School
eplacement needs of the District. (replacement costs	\$450,000.00/	5	100% replacement	
livided by total costs)	\$450,000.00 = 1 1 -	3	99-51% replacement	5
	x 100 = 100%	0	<50% replacement	
AZZADNENOZONEZ ZANICHI BONOGONO, ON CHIONININI NACIONEMI IN PRIMINI MATANEMIA				
OMER COM CONTROL OF CO.	COMMENTAL SERVICES FOR AND LOCAL CONTRACTOR OF	ETIGEORE CON TRANSCEON SIGNAL AND SOUTH STANDARD SERVICES		encounted their
Regional Nature of Project relative to				
nfrastructure needs of the District	Roads/Bridges/Traffic Signals (determined by	10	Major/Minor Arterial	7
2124 100	ODOT classification held by District 11 Liasion)	7	Major/Minor Collector	
	S Siourot 11 Eldolori)	4	All other Streets	
	Water Treatment/	10	Population served ≥ 5,000	
	Storage Wastewater Treatment System/	7	Population served ≥ 1,000 but < 5,000 inclusive	
	Water Meter Projects	4	Population served < 1,000	
		7	i opaidion served v 1,000	
	Waterlines	10	Waterline > 420	
		67 %	Waterline ≥ 12"	
	_	7	Waterline ≥ 8" but < 12" inclusive	
		4	Waterline < 8"	
	Sanitary Sewer Lines			
	January Jewor Ellies	10	Sewer line ≥ 15"	
		7	Sewer line ≥ 10" but < 15" inclusive	
		4	Sewer line < 10"	
	Storm Water Systems (closed)	10	Tile size ≥ 36"	
		7	Tile size ≥ 24" but < 36" inclusive	
		4	Tile size < 24"	
	Storm Water Systems	10	Drains ≥ 10,000 acres	
	(open)	7	Drains ≥ 1,000 but < 10,000 acres inclusive	
		4	Drains < 1,000 acres	
		4	Diams > 1,000 doles	
	Solid Waste Facilities		P. L. V. None and	
		10	Population served ≥ 200,000	
		7	Population served ≥ 150,000 but < 200,000	

Factor	System	Points Available	Criteria	Score
Useful Life of Project (Must be certified by an	entransia del como de	10	30 or more years	The state of the s
Engineer. Please provide	47 Year	7	20-29 years	4.0
explanation of how useful ife was calculated.)	Weighted Useful Life	4	10-19 years	10
	Lite	0	7-9 years (less than seven years/project = ineligible)	
		SN-2014 AND		
	ynanty saaron oo o		ка, укаж өктілік техетілік техетілік техетілік жалып	
Readiness to Proceed (Projects must be		5	Bid award date on or before 12/31/20	
substantially underway by June 1, 2021 to be eligible	Award Bid by	3	Between 01/01/21- 03/31/21	5
for Round 34.) Must be consistent with the	6/30/2020	1	Between 04/01/21 - 05/31/21	J
leverage of other funds schedule.		0	On or after June 1, 2021 - The project is not eligible for Round 34 funding.	
	election and the second and the seco			
NA 14	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	unanteriori de la companya de la com		BOOM SCHOOL STATE AND STREET PRINTED AND ASSESSED AS SE
Multi-jurisdictional needs of the District	Only Miami	5	3 or more local jurisdictions in the project agreement	0
(ODOT is not a local juristiction. Jurisdictions	County Involved in Project	3	2 local jurisdictions in the project agreement	0
counted must provide at least 5% of the local	iii Project	0	Single jurisdiction involved in the project	
match for the project.)				gradua (a. Carabanina dan manana kan kanana manana araban dan kanana dan kanana dan kanana dan kanana dan kana
. ON OPTICE COMMENTS BASIS HAVE SHOULD SEE SEE SHOULD SEE STATE OF	ng tarbette di digitari para para para para para para para p			
Financial capacity of the applicant (90% state MHI = \$47,166 to 110% state MHI	Enter applicant's 201 income 2017 Ohio M	(MHI):		
= \$57,647)* Certified income studies will not be accepted.		5	< or = \$47,166	3
Income sheets will be provided by district liaison.	Miami County WiHI = \$54,568.00	3	> \$47,166 but < \$57,647	
provided by district males in	= \$54,500.00	0	> or = \$57,647	
				Market Control of the
arcomo una marco por esperante esta de desta de la Receditad de Colonia de Colonia de Colonia de Colonia de Co	Access to each of the Control of the	eta utaka matemataran kentera atoko sasta bermaneta asun daakka esti da Eddi Heddi		
	CARACHER CONTRACTOR CO	AND AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PE	No previous OPWC assistance since Round 23	DESTRUCTION OF STREET,
Applicant's previous	* Subdivisions that have	10	No provided of the decidance enter the and 20	
Applicant's previous performance on OPWC assisted projects	* Subdivisions that have more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be	10 5	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under	5
performance on OPWC	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate		· ·	5
performance on OPWC	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be	5	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19	5
performance on OPWC assisted projects	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria	5	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19	5
performance on OPWC assisted projects Population benefiting from the project (ADT for project	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria Enter ADT or po	5	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19	5
performance on OPWC assisted projects Population benefiting from the project (ADT for project area or population served by	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria Enter ADT or po	5	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19	
performance on OPWC assisted projects Population benefiting from	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria Enter ADT or po	5 0 pulation served:	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19 All others	5
performance on OPWC assisted projects Population benefiting from the project (ADT for project area or population served by	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria Enter ADT or pop Roads/Bridges (ADT)	5 0 pulation served:	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19 All others ≥ 10,000 ADT	
performance on OPWC assisted projects Population benefiting from the project (ADT for project area or population served by	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria Enter ADT or pop Roads/Bridges (ADT)	5 0 pulation served: 10 8	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19 All others ≥ 10,000 ADT > 2,500 but < 10,000 ADT inclusive	
performance on OPWC assisted projects Population benefiting from the project (ADT for project area or population served by	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria Enter ADT or pop Roads/Bridges (ADT)	5 0 pulation served: 10 8	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19 All others ≥ 10,000 ADT > 2,500 but < 10,000 ADT inclusive >1,000 but < 2,500 ADT inclusive	
performance on OPWC assisted projects Population benefiting from the project (ADT for project area or population served by	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria Enter ADT or por Roads/Bridges (ADT) 1056 ADT	5 0 pulation served: 10 8	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19 All others ≥ 10,000 ADT > 2,500 but < 10,000 ADT inclusive >1,000 but < 2,500 ADT inclusive	
performance on OPWC assisted projects Population benefiting from the project (ADT for project area or population served by	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria Enter ADT or por Roads/Bridges (ADT) 1056 ADT	5 0 pulation served: 10 8 6	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19 All others ≥ 10,000 ADT > 2,500 but < 10,000 ADT inclusive >1,000 ADT	
performance on OPWC assisted projects Population benefiting from the project (ADT for project area or population served by	more than one applicant (i.e. County Engineer and Utilities) will be considered separate applications for this criteria Enter ADT or por Roads/Bridges (ADT) 1056 ADT	5 0 pulation served: 10 8 6 4	All projects Round 1-31 complete & all Round 32 projects under contract by 8/5/19 All others ≥ 10,000 ADT > 2,500 but < 10,000 ADT inclusive >1,000 but < 2,500 ADT inclusive < 1,000 ADT	

NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	System	Points Available	Criteria	Score	James
Leverage of OPWC funds with Other Public Revenues (other public		10	50% or more		THREE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF
evenues divided by total esources) other revenues		7	26-49%		Company of the Compan
nclude grants from FHWA, JSDA, CDBG, EPA, ODOD, DDOT. If using other	\$0.007 \$450,000.00 = 0 or 0%	5	16-25%	0	- AND COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF T
revenues, a schedule of incoming funds and the		3	11-15%		
commitment of those funds must be attached.		0	10% or less		CANADA AND STREET
					TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF
Leverage of OPWC funds with Local Funds (local funds divided by total		10	50% or more	en Schwedinger von der zum 2000 von der	
resources) Local includes in- kind local public revenues user fees, other loans,	,	7	26-49%		COLUMN TO SERVICE STATE OF THE
assessments and / or private funds. OPWC Ioan	\$150,000.00/ \$450,000.00 = .34	5	16-25%	7	
does not count. If using other revenues, a schedule of incoming funds and the	or 34%	3	11-15%		end-dominantementales.
commitment of those funds must be attached.		0	10% or less		NO. OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAMED IN COL
					-
ARCHITECTURE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	Contraction of the Contraction o	Comments and the second			- 1
					l
System Health and Safety	New Projects (Where no infrastructure exists, this	10	Significant documented impact on health and safety concerns	Tache e a applicación de a a accide a accide a como como como como como como como co	200
System Health and Safety		10 7	Significant documented impact on health and safety concerns Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns	CONCENSION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would				
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have)	7	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns		
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in	7	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide	7	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in	7 0	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in	7 0 10 7	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating >50 but < 70 inclusive Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in	7 0 10 7	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating >50 but < 70 inclusive Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in	7 0 10 7	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating >50 but < 70 inclusive Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 70 Project involves major reconstruction (including berm, ditch work and drainage structures)	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in application)	7 0 10 7 0	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating >50 but < 70 inclusive Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 70 Project involves major reconstruction (including berm, ditch work and drainage structures) Project involves minor reconstruction, 1" or more new asphalt, widening to meet current standards	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in application)	7 0 10 7 0	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating >50 but < 70 inclusive Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 70 Project involves major reconstruction (including berm, ditch work and drainage structures) Project involves minor reconstruction, 1" or more new asphalt,	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in application)	7 0 10 7 0	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating >50 but < 70 inclusive Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 70 Project involves major reconstruction (including berm, ditch work and drainage structures) Project involves minor reconstruction, 1" or more new asphalt, widening to meet current standards	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in application) Roads Other Projects (Please provide documentation	7 0 10 7 0	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating >50 but < 70 inclusive Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 70 Project involves major reconstruction (including berm, ditch work and drainage structures) Project involves minor reconstruction, 1" or more new asphalt, widening to meet current standards Overlay of less than 1" new asphalt Project repairs / replaces facility under EPA orders or where demand routinely exceeds capacity or where verified periodic	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in application) Roads Other Projects (Please	7 0 10 7 0	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 50 but < 70 inclusive Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 70 Project involves major reconstruction (including berm, ditch work and drainage structures) Project involves minor reconstruction, 1" or more new asphalt, widening to meet current standards Overlay of less than 1" new asphalt Project repairs / replaces facility under EPA orders or where demand routinely exceeds capacity or where verified periodic environmental health hazard is present or facility is over 50 years old	10	
System Health and Safety	infrastructure exists, this new project would have) Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in application) Roads Other Projects (Please provide documentation of age of system/EPA	7 0 10 7 0	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns No documented impact on health and safety concerns Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 50, closed, or posted less than 25 tons Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 50 but < 70 inclusive Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 70 Project involves major reconstruction (including berm, ditch work and drainage structures) Project involves minor reconstruction, 1" or more new asphalt, widening to meet current standards Overlay of less than 1" new asphalt Project repairs / replaces facility under EPA orders or where demand routinely exceeds capacity or where verified periodic environmental health hazard is present or facility is over 50	10	

Factor	System	Points Available	Criteria	Score	
	If eliminating safety hazard, please specify:				
	Roads/Bridges/Traffic Signals only	10	Project assists applicant in obtaining transportation infrastructure needed for significant, specific development opportunities or bridge replacement	10	4.4.0
	The state of the s	7	Project assists applicant in alleviating traffic congestion (Level D or worse)	10	140
		4	Project eliminates safety hazard		NAME OF THE OWNERS OF THE OWNER, WHEN THE OWNE
is .		0	Routine repair, resurfacing or reconstruction		
				SCHOOL THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS	E Rest America
Grant/Loan Request (The			100% loan request		
ralues in this section refer to DPWC funding only.	-	10			
Projects that are 100% loan vill get 10 points, regardless	\$200,000,00 Guant	7	\$1- \$150,000 grant request \$150,001 - \$300,000 grant request	5	
of the loan amount. Grant can combinations will be	\$300,000.00 Grant	5 3	\$300,001 - \$600,000 grant request	J	154
scored as grant requests.)		0	Over \$600,000 grant request		
		U			
Pre - County Project Review Committee Priority Subtotal					73
Review Committee Priority Subtotal Priority of the project at the county level as determined by the county's project review	All	Miami County =40 p	te, Madison, Preble, and Union County= 30 points. Clark, Greene, points. Points may be distributed amongst projects at each county discretion. For example, one project may receive 30 points or 30 sive 1 point. Points are to be awarded by the subcommitte prior to application submission to the District.		
Review Committee Priority Subtotal Priority of the project at the county level as determined by the county's project review		Miami County =40 p	points. Points may be distributed amongst projects at each county discretion. For example, one project may receive 30 points or 30 vive 1 point. Points are to be awarded by the subcommitte prior to		73
Review Committee Priority Subtotal Priority of the project at the county level as determined by the county's project review committee	All	Miami County =40 p	points. Points may be distributed amongst projects at each county discretion. For example, one project may receive 30 points or 30 sive 1 point. Points are to be awarded by the subcommitte prior to application submission to the District.		Participation of Partic
Review Committee Priority Subtotal	All	Miami County =40 p	points. Points may be distributed amongst projects at each county discretion. For example, one project may receive 30 points or 30 vive 1 point. Points are to be awarded by the subcommitte prior to	0	Participation of Partic
Review Committee Priority Subtotal Priority of the project at the county level as determined by the county's project review committee Project Review Committee Compliance. Applicant receives points from county review committee for county compliance Post - County Project	All	Miami County =40 p subcommittee's projects may rece	points. Points may be distributed amongst projects at each county discretion. For example, one project may receive 30 points or 30 sive 1 point. Points are to be awarded by the subcommitte prior to application submission to the District. County committee approves that project was in compliance		16.
Priority of the project at the county level as determined by the county's project review committee Project Review Committee Compliance. Applicant receives points from county review committee for county compliance Post - County Project	All	Miami County =40 p subcommittee's projects may rece	points. Points may be distributed amongst projects at each county discretion. For example, one project may receive 30 points or 30 sive 1 point. Points are to be awarded by the subcommitte prior to application submission to the District. County committee approves that project was in compliance		16.
Priority of the project at the county level as determined by the county's project review committee Project Review Committee Compliance. Applicant receives points from county review committee for county compliance Post - County Project Review Committee Priority Subtotal District Priority Points	All	Miami County =40 p subcommittee's projects may rece	points. Points may be distributed amongst projects at each county discretion. For example, one project may receive 30 points or 30 sive 1 point. Points are to be awarded by the subcommitte prior to application submission to the District. County committee approves that project was in compliance		16/
Review Committee Priority Subtotal Priority of the project at the county level as determined by the county's project review committee Project Review Committee Compliance. Applicant receives points from county review committee for county compliance Post - County Project Review Committee Priority	All	Miami County =40 p subcommittee's projects may rece	points. Points may be distributed amongst projects at each county discretion. For example, one project may receive 30 points or 30 sive 1 point. Points are to be awarded by the subcommitte prior to application submission to the District. County committee approves that project was in compliance		16.

TROY-URBANA ROAD BRIDGE NO. 4.71 REPLACEMENT ESTIMATE

			AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY	CHARLES CONTRACTOR CON	Charles and the second	NOTIFIED AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE	CONTRACTOR OF STREET,	
					ENGINEER	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE		
Item	Item No.	Description	Quan	Unit	Unit	Total	Unit	Total
					Price	Amount	Price	Amount
		ROADWAY						
~	201	Clearing and Grubbing, As Per Plan	_	Lump Sum	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00		
2	202	Guardrail Removed, As Per Plan	200	Ft.	\$3.00	\$1,500.00		
8	202	Fence Removed	15	Ft.	\$3.00	\$45.00		
4	203	Excavation	400	Cu. Yd.	\$16.00	\$6,400.00		
5	203	Embankment	250	Cu. Yd.	\$16.00	\$4,000.00		
9	204	Subgrade Compaction, As Per Plan	200	Sq. Yd.	\$2.00	\$1,000.00		
7	909	Guardrail, Type 5, As Per Plan	200	Ft	\$16.00	\$8,000.00		
00	909	Bridge Terminal Assembly, Type 4, As Per Plan	4	Each	\$650.00	\$2,600.00		
0	909	Type "A" Anchor Assembly	4	Each	\$1,000.00	\$4,000.00		
		EROSION CONTROL						
10	601	Rock Channel Protection, Type B w/Filter	150	Cu. Yd.	\$50.00	\$7,500.00		
7	629	Seeding and Mulching, As Per Plan	1000	Sq. Yd.	\$2.50	\$2,500.00		
12	832	Erosion Control	1000	Each	\$1.00	\$1,000.00		
		PAVEMENT						
13	301	Asphalt Concrete Base	40	Cu. Yd.	\$250.00	\$10,000.00		
14	304	Aggregate Base, As Per Plan	02	Cu. Yd.	\$60.00	\$4,200.00		
15	407	Tack Coat @ 0.10 Gal/S.Y.	90	Gal.	\$10.00	\$500.00		
16	448	Asphalt Concrete, Intermed. Course, Type 2, PG 64-22	20	Cu. Yd.	\$300.00	\$6,000.00		
17	448	Asphalt Concrete, Surface Course, Type 1, PG 64-22	15	Cu. Yd.	\$300.00	\$4,500.00		
00	617	14	∞	Cu. Yd.	\$75.00	\$600.00		
		TRAFFIC CONTROL						
19	614	Maintaining Traffic, As Per Plan	_	Lump Sum	\$3,814.50	\$3,814.50		
		MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS						
20	623	Construction Layout Stakes	τ-	Lump Sum	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00		

J Wé	Item Item No.	Description	Quan	Unit	Unit	Total	Unit	Total
					Price	Amount	Price	Amount
		BRIDGE QUANTITIES						
_	202	Structure Removed	_	Lump Sum	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00		
22	448	Asphalt Concrete, Intermed. Course, Type 2, PG 64-22	22	Cu. Yd.	\$300.00	\$6,600.00		
23	448	Asphalt Concrete, Surface Course, Type 1, PG 64-22	14	Cu. Yd.	\$300.00	\$4,200.00		
24	503	Unclassified Excavation	_	Lump Sum	\$12,500.00	\$12,500.00		
25	505	Pile Driving Equipment Mobilization	~	Lump Sum	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00		
26	507	Steel Piles HP12x53, Furnished, As per Plan	420	Ŧ.	\$50.00	\$21,000.00		
27	507	Steel Piles HP12x53, Driven, As per Plan	350	;; L	\$15.00	\$5,250.00		
28	509	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing Steel	4969	Lbs.	\$2.00	\$9,938.00		
29	511	Class C Concrete, Footings	42	Cu. Yd.	\$750.00	\$31,500.00		
30	511	Class C Concrete, Abutment Walls	9	Cu. Yd.	\$750.00	\$4,500.00		
31	512	Type 3 Membrane Waterproofing	320	Sq. Yd.	\$40.00	\$12,800.00		

\$75,159.50

Sub Total (Roadway Only)

TROY-URBANA ROAD BRIDGE NO. 4.71 REPLACEMENT ESTIMATE

\$0.00	\$450,000.00		TOTAL \$				
\$0.00	\$374,840.50		⊕ "		Subtotal (Bridge Only)		
	\$3,220.00	\$17.50	土	184	Special Steel Drip Strip, As Per Plan	Specia	39
	\$2,800.00	\$70.00	Cu. Yd.	40	518 Porous Backfill with Filter Fabric	518	38
	\$13,212.50	\$75.50	芷	175	Nuts, Etc.	517	37
					Railing (Deep Beam w/ Tubular Backup, Type 2 Posts, Bolts,		
	\$180.00	\$5.00	Sa. Ft.	36	1" Preformed Expansion Joint Filler	516	36
	\$150.00	\$15.00	Sq. Ft.	10	1/8" Preformed Bearing Pad, 711.21	516	35
	\$2,240.00	\$70.00	Each	32	1"x6"x12" Elastomeric Bearing Pads, (60 Durometer)	516	34
	\$176,000.00	\$22,000.00	Each	∞	B42x48, (85'-0" Length)	515	33
			-		Prestressed Bridge Members, Level 1, Non-composite,		
Authorization experiment or convertible to the conv	\$3,750.00	\$25.00	Sq. Yd.	150	512 Sealing of Concrete Surfaces, Epoxy Urethane, as per plan	512	32



WEIGHTED USEFUL LIFE CALCULATION

Troy-Urbana Road Bridge No. 4.71 Replacement

Component	Useful Life	Х	Estimated Cost	X	Weighted Useful Life
Bridge Beams	50		\$176,000		\$8,800,000
Bridge Superstructure	50		\$242,700		\$12,135,000
Asphalt Overlay	15		\$31,300		\$469,500
Totals			\$450,000		\$21,404,500

Average Weighted Useful Life = \$21,404,500 / \$450,000 = 47.6 years

Britt R. Havenar, P.E., P.S.

Date

BRITT R.
HAVENAR
E-65495

E-65495

BRITT R.

HAVENAR

HAVENAR

E-65495

Miami County Engineer's Office & County Garage 2100 North County Read 25A Troy, Ohio 45373

Office: 937-440-5656 Carage: 937-440-5658 Fax: 937-440-5659 MCEO@MiamiCountyOhio.gov



Miami County Tax Map Office Miami County Safety Building County Plaza 201 West Main Street Troy, Ohio 45373

Office: 937-440-6025 Fax: 937-440-6026 MCEO@MiamiCountyOhie.gov

Paul P. Huelskamp PE, PS . Miami County Engineer

FARMLAND PRESERVATION REVIEW LETTER

Troy-Urbana Road Bridge No. 4.71 Replacement June 24, 2019

This review is to comply with the Farmland Preservation Review Advisory of the Ohio Public Works Commission and the Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV. This review was accomplished by the Miami County Engineer's Office.

- 1. The immediate impact the project will have on productive agricultural and grazing land related to land acquisition.
 - N/A. No additional right-of-way will be acquired.
- 2. Indirect impact that will result in the loss of productive agricultural and grazing land from development related to this project.
 - N/A. No indirect impact expected.
- 3. Mitigation measures that could be implemented when alternative sites or locations are not feasible.

N/A. All work in the existing road right-of-way.

Britt R. Havenar, PE, PS

Chief Deputy Miami County Engineer

